

THE WASHINGTON HERALD

Published Every Morning in the Year by THE WASHINGTON HERALD COMPANY.  
Publication Office: 724 FIFTEENTH STREET NORTHWEST.  
Entered as second-class matter, October 3, 1893, at the post-office at Washington, D. C., under act of Congress of March 3, 1879.  
SCOTT C. BONE, Editor.

Subscription Rates by Carrier or Mail:  
Daily and Sunday.....30 cents per month  
Daily and Sunday.....\$8.00 per year  
Daily, without Sunday.....\$7.00 per year  
Daily, without Sunday.....\$4.00 per year

No attention will be paid to anonymous contributions, and no communications to the editor will be printed except under the name of the writer.  
Manuscripts offered for publication will be returned if unavailable, but stamps should be sent with the manuscript for that purpose.  
All communications intended for this newspaper, whether for the daily or the Sunday issue, should be addressed to THE WASHINGTON HERALD.

New York Representative, J. C. WILBERDING  
SPECIAL AGENT, Brunswick Building.  
Chicago Representative, BARNARD & BRAN.  
HAM, Bove Building.

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 1909.

Southern Tariff Views.

The new view of the protective tariff which is gaining ground throughout the South was represented at the Indianapolis convention by two speakers, Congressman Randall, of Louisiana, and D. A. Tompkins, of North Carolina. Both of them contended for a tariff bill constructed on economic rather than on political lines, and both conceded the desirability of making use of a tariff on imports for the promotion of our industrial interests, where that could be done without violence to the general welfare. Mr. Randall frankly confessed that he came from a community which had been benefited by the protective policy, and that naturally he leaned to that side. He declared that the whole South was rapidly changing its opinion on this subject. Yet he admitted that great abuses had crept into the tariff law which required radical treatment. He regretted that the tariff question, so vitally concerning the welfare of every portion of the country, should ever have become a partisan one.

Mr. Tompkins started with the postulate that the main source of our national revenues would continue to be the tariff on imports, and that the question was as to how it should be laid. It might be levied so as to benefit the whole people by encouraging industry, and be considered that a better plan than to levy a horizontal duty on all articles of importation. He would not levy a tariff that permitted the collection of unfair prices from the consumer, and thought that a tariff commission would be useful in determining whether the tariff were too highly protective or insufficiently so, and also whether it were fixed at the best revenue-producing point. Criticizing our tariff-makers, he said:

"The present method of making the tariff is a sort of scramble about once in ten years. The industry which gets unsatisfactory results has no remedy, but has to wait ten years and take chances again. Under the present system a tariff is laid which develops graft, this condition must continue until the next general tariff revision. Under the commission plan the tariff might be in process of revision or readjustment all the time. Revision might mean upward or downward. It would mean whatever changes were necessary to produce the best interests at home for the American people."

The utterances of these two men, the tone of the Southern press, and the sentiment finding expression among the progressive industrial leaders of the South make it certain that, while favorable to tariff revision, the dominant influence of that section will not be thrown against reasonably protective provisions in the new tariff bill. This being the case, the suggestion lately made that there would be no real Democratic opposition to the new tariff bill—that is, to say, no opposition to the protective principle upon which it is based—appears far from fanciful. More than likely, the chief Democratic criticism will be that it is not protective enough to suit particular constituencies.

We suppose that Augusta gentleman recently fined \$30,000,000 for not keeping his sidewalk clean has taken up golf as a nerve tonic ere this, however.

Urest in the Coal Fields.

Mr. Taft may well pray to be delivered from such a labor question as confronted President Roosevelt, near the beginning of his administration, in the anthracite coal strike. Yet events are shaping themselves for a renewal of that memorable controversy on somewhat different lines. The agreement that was reached between the miners and their employers, through the mediation of the board appointed by President Roosevelt, has been in force for six years, and terminates on April 1. Under it the miners have enjoyed advanced wages, adjusted to the price of coal by a sliding scale, and their grievances have been settled by a board of conciliation, which has now a clear docket. For the last six years there has been peace in the mining regions, where before there was constant agitation and discontent. It has been a good arrangement for the public, and evidently for the miners, but not for the miners' union, the membership of which has steadily declined until it is now but 18 per cent of the total number of anthracite workers. In other words, the miners, being protected by an agreement with their employers having almost the force of law, have not felt the necessity of organization. This agreement the mine operators are willing to renew on the old terms, but the miners' union has a number of new propositions which will be urged upon employers, and which the latter now say they are unwilling to accept.

Foremost among these propositions is a demand for the complete recognition of the union as the sole representative of the workers in their relations with their employers. Accompanying this is a demand that the dues of the members of the union shall be collected by employers from the wages of the men, and paid into the union treasury. In addition it is asked that the grievances of workers be settled by conferences between union committees and operators, thus abolishing the conciliation board. The net result of all these demands, if they were granted, would be to unionize the entire

force of anthracite workers, to vastly increase the prestige of the union, and to make it practically the controlling force in the mining regions. Instead of 30,000 members, the present number, it would eventually have 170,000 members, whose dues to the union would be compulsorily paid and forcibly collected. Of course, there are demands for increase of pay, for an eight-hour day, and for other concessions of a technical nature, but the main point is the recognition of the union. Thus the contest which impends is really one for the aggrandizement of the union and for the establishment of its supremacy as a bargaining authority in behalf of the miners. The recognition now insisted upon was refused six years ago by the anthracite strike commission, that body dealing with the workers as a whole, irrespective of their affiliation with labor organizations. Since then the mines have been run on the "open shop" principle.

The singular feature of the situation in the mining regions is that government intervention should have had the effect of greatly reducing the numbers and influence of the labor organization that was instrumental in bringing about the original controversy, but was not recognized as the sole party concerned, on the workers' side, in the final settlement, and that the union officers should now be satisfied with nothing less than the total abolition of the agreement effected through government agency, and the substitution thereof of an agreement reached only by the parties directly in interest, represented on the one hand by the mine operators and on the other hand by the union. It is a most suggestive commentary on government mediation in labor disputes.

The tariff commission idea, it seems, is a beautiful but inconsequential abstract conception.

The Liberty Bell.

We hope that it is not true that the dear old Liberty Bell is to be hauled across the continent to the forthcoming exposition at Seattle. Not that we do not wish that enterprise every possible measure of success, both artistically and financially, but because we think this precious relic of the nation's infancy should be guarded jealously and with ever-increasing vigilance and care.

The Bell is feeling its age, if we may be permitted so to express it. It is cracked in more than one place, and inclines to physical deterioration. Only a short time ago, a new and gaping wound was discovered on its body, and another moving of it may do serious and lasting harm. It has served its day at shows and expositions. Let it alone now—let it repose in the peace, quiet, and dignified retirement it has so richly earned.

In the Old World, throughout all the nations thereof, there are many of these ancient reminders of the dead past—the yesterdays, growing ever dimmer and dimmer through long stretches of the ages. Linking England with the days of Alfred and the later days of William the Norman, and Rome with the grandeur of the Caesars, are hundreds of things precious in the sight of the people, and potent in keeping alive the love of the fatherlands. With us—we are, after all, with all our pretensions, quite juvenile, you know—it is different. The Liberty Bell is one of the very few things we have closely and inseparably associated with our initial birthday—and with it we should take no chances whatsoever!

Let the Liberty Bell remain right there in Philadelphia, where it belongs, forevermore. Let those who would cast their eyes upon it go to it; let it not be sent to them. We protest that its junketing days are no more. We object to it being treated otherwise than its venerable estate suggests as meet and proper under the circumstances of its present existence. It should be sacred to our sight. And we should deny the right of any man or men to disturb the sweet serenity of its declining years.

If there is anything unconstitutional about Mr. James Wilson's title to his Cabinet portfolio, we desire to advance the suggestion that the statute of limitations probably long ago estopped any questioning of it.

He Did Not Mean It.

Mr. Thomas E. Watson, in his Weekly Jeffersonian, protests to The Washington Herald, with earnestness and courtesy alike convincing, that he was in no wise seeking to "belittle," or "sneeringly allude to," Abraham Lincoln in a magazine article noted; stating that it was his object merely to dissent from the, to him, apparently growing idea that Mr. Lincoln was the greatest man in the world. We confess that we read a somewhat broader meaning into Mr. Watson's article—or, perhaps, we should say narrower—and amid the universal kindness of Lincoln expression incident to the celebration of his birthday, Mr. Watson's summing up of the martyred President carried, to our mind, a distinctly jarring note. But if Mr. Watson says he did not mean it that way, he did not; and that is all there is to that! We have frequently expressed our honest admiration for Mr. Watson's splendid "Story of France," and if he should ever be moved to write a "Story of Lincoln," we are satisfied it would have a wide reading—and it would, therefore, be little short of a calamity for Mr. Watson to get a wrong point of view. We do not say he will; we do not say he is even likely to; but we do say we hope he will not.

Abdul Hamid probably does not observe any very great difference in things under the new dispensation, so far as he is concerned. The number of plotters anxious to kill does not seem to have fallen off any.

Senator Hale says our navy is "the best in the world." Let us have a measure of faith, moreover, that the Doubting Thomases on the outside of the country, at least, are few and far between.

sylvania legislature is probably the only chopping-block of that persuasion one may unhesitatingly attack with merry quip and jest and be subsequently utterly unafraid somebody will hand it back to him both hot and cold and uncomfortably.

The Junior Senator from South Carolina, Mr. Gary, delivered a chaste and highly interesting address in the Senate on Thursday last. Mr. Gary goes out on March 4, but his friends may well be proud of his swan song.

The governor-elect of Georgia has served notice that while he may think, personally, certain features of the prohibition law might wisely be changed, he will veto the least syllable of attempted change by the legislature, and because he promised he would when seeking election. "Little Joe" appears to be something of a Spartan, if he is not rated a beauty physically.

Moreover, if anybody else doubts that we love South Carolina with a love that passeth all understanding, we call the Charleston News and Courier to give evidence in respect of our noble, exhaustive, and superb defense of Palmetto bards against the base pretensions of Tarheel pirates and literary pygmies.

"Once a court told the police not to photograph a prisoner before arraignment, but they did; and now a bill has been introduced in the legislature to tell them not to do it—and what's the answer?" inquires the Brooklyn Standard-Union. Because.

Mr. Hobson may cry "War, war," but there is no war.

As eggs will probably be handled in drug stores exclusively in the near future, we presume it will be necessary to get the doctors to write Latin prescriptions for the few we find absolutely necessary in our business.

A South Dakota man advocates a constitutional amendment providing for three Presidents of the United States to hold office at one and the same time. This patriot appreciates the fact that the Rooseveltian pace is going to be hard to follow.

The Rev. Dr. Folk, of Tennessee, is reported to have said in a sermon recently, "Senator Carmack's death was a blessing." This should make the Cooper outfit feel a bit more cheerful, we think.

A prominent big league baseballist is "doubtful" that he "can afford to play this season for \$1500 a month." We doubt if the country can afford it, either; especially in view of the prospective shortage in plow hands.

"Is George Bell a lunatic?" inquires the Atlanta Georgian. We are not sure, not having heard the evidence. Does George favor Bryan for the Democratic leadership in 1912?

King Edward again has Parliament on his hands.

NO EASY WAY TO REFORM.

Vigilant Citizenship Essential to Good City Government.

Clinton Rogers Woodruff, in the Living Church. As I have on more than one occasion in annual civic reviews pointed out, there is no golden rule to municipal reform; there is no one panacea that will solve the difficulties and complexities of the situation; the problem is too big, is too perplexing, is too complex to yield to simple remedies. It requires the constant thought and alert attention of public spirited men, day in and day out, with an eye single to the public good, to produce even a small measure of improvement. The great value of work that the bureau of municipal research and the Boston finance commission have done and are doing, is that they are bringing home to the people of their respective local conditions in a way that they cannot escape it, bringing home to them the need of public duty and responsibility in the premises. Once the American people are aroused on this subject, once they take hold, the ways and means, for working out the improvement will not be hard to find.

For a Hit for Every Head.

VOL. II. NO. 40.

EVERY SATURDAY.

Mr. Editor: If you see it in The Big Stick, I'll necessarily see it.

"YOU AND WE."

Many men in many lands have sought to wrest from the brow of James Byron Elmore, of Indiana, the poetic laureate of the South, the right of divine genius, but they have all failed miserably, and we feel certain that although one Alfred Austin, of London, England, is a late claimant for Elmore's poetic bay, he, too, will be judged and found wanting.

Mr. Austin bases his claim on an effusion entitled "You and We" in the selection of which title he may be said to have been inspired by the chance which Laureate Elmore would have taken of calling it "You and We." Mr. Austin's poem follows the classic model, but in its strict adherence to meter it makes that note of sterling humanity which is so characteristic of Mr. Elmore, whom he seeks to delectate, rather, for instance, that line—

But there, too, furnace flames and Forging the thunderbolts of War.

A sinner genius that Mr. Austin would have made it "furnaces," not "furnace," for we know, even if Mr. Austin did not, that besides the government furnace which is forging, &c., there are several furnaces owned by the steel trust which are also doing the work.

In his third verse Mr. Austin says: Nor you nor we (not Us) would others wrong;

We only claim to hold our own—Which once more betrays the marked limitations of the Britisher. As Mr. Elmore would have told us, had he chosen such a theme, we not only claim to hold our own, but we spend sleepless nights in formulating schemes for getting hold of somebody else's. And then, instead of continuing speaking to us, as he has done all along, Mr. Austin switches off to apostrophize the air with:

Then star-spangled flag unfurled, Oh, Union Jack, resistive train—Just as if he, the English bard, had a perfect right to order the Stars and Stripes to be unfurled!

As to the last verse of Mr. Austin's poem, well, here it is: In noble aim united thus pursue, And if you will stand by Us, Be sure that we will stand by you. Surely this is too cryptic for general acceptance. Why we should be urged to go around pursuing peaceful lives is a little hard to understand. The real gift of the verse

A LITTLE NONSENSE.

A MODERN TREND.

Could Johnny Keats Enthusias on meats, Laud lambons to the skies, Or Bobby Burns Do lyric turns Concerning hooks and eyes?

Could gifted Pope Descant on soap, Or praise a biscuit's grade? The words to-day, I'm free to say, Are going into trade.

As They Do on the Stage.

"They say the world is a stage." "I dunno," I've seen many a girl standing on a corner waiting for her beau, but I've never seen one of them put in the time by practicing her new song and dance."

What a Purse Is For.

"Put money in thy purse," counseled Polonius. "All right, dad," responded Ophelia. "And not hairpins, chewing gum, cooking recipes, powder rags, and dress samples."

Outclassed.

"How was the thunderstorm scene in your play?" "Rather flat. There was a better one going on outside."

Timorous.

The captain of an industry. He never slumbers. He fears that he perhaps may be Reduced ten dollars.

Never Did It.

"The way to a man's heart is through his stomach."

"Mere libel. Did you ever get a valentine depicting a beefsteak smothered with onions?"

In Disguise.

For verse I swear I do not care; I am a plain, blunt man, and so all verse, however terse, I dodge when I can. But I have heard the other men I am befuddled by those who dress it a rhyme from time to time and dress it up like prose.

Always a Way.

"These pants are rather high water, yet I can't afford a new pair of trousers. Turn them up an additional two inches, and with lavender socks you'll be right in them."

DUTY OF A NEWSPAPER.

Not Only to Print News, but to Expose Wrongdoing.

From an opinion by Justice Gray, of New York.

The law jealously guards the good repute of all persons in their private character and in their business or profession, unless by their general or private conduct or by the character of the business or profession in which they are engaged they have themselves forfeited such good repute. But the law is not engaged in the rehabilitation of reputations, or in throwing the mantle of respectability and good repute around those who deliberately engage in practices in violation of law and follow pursuits which are subversive of decency and good morals.

Publication of a newspaper not merely for the dissemination of news, but with the additional purpose of upholding a high standard of public decency and morals is the duty of a newspaper. It is its right, but its duty, in connection with a public and official proceeding of the kind in question, to publish truthfully so much of the facts as with decency it can publish, so that even if the criminal law provided inadequate means to reach malefactors of this class, who traffic for gain in human life and health and seek to promote immoral practices, they might be held up to public scorn and contempt.

While newspapers should be held to strict and severe accountability for unjustified and untrue assaults upon private character, or upon business standing and credit, they deserve not punishment for the publication of public approval and commendation where, as in this instance, they truthfully, and in strict conformity to the facts, call public attention to those who are engaged in nefarious practices, injurious to the public welfare.

AT LINCOLN'S BIRTHPLACE.

A Visitor's Impressions of the Day at Hodgenville.

David W. Clark, in the Boston Transcript. I was not interested in dignitaries or trappings of a gala day, or even, to be frank, in the speechmaking. I knew no Gettysburg speech was going to be delivered. I dismissed everything extraneous. I was alone for the while with the long-legged, barefooted boy—in his jeans and tow-line shirt and cockskin cap. I explored with him the cabin in which he was born. I drank out of the gourd with him at the Rock Spring. I helped him set his figure four trap for a rabbit. I fished with him in the creek. I laid down with him at the end of the day on the hearth, in the frelight, and caught a glimmering of one of his immortal visions of Truth and Love and Service. Money could not buy what I felt.

His vision of life and his unalterable fidelity to it—that is what works the miracle which makes even the Aladdin lamp he loved so well glow dim in comparison. The rude cabin changes into a marble temple, ample in proportions, classic in its lines. The impoverished farm suddenly blooms into a park in which the skill of landscape architecture exhausts itself. This sparsely settled neighborhood for the day has a population of ten thousand souls who do reverence to the memory of the boy who left his footprints on these acres, and so made them holy. I woke from reverie long enough to hear his successor in the highest office saying: "One of the two greatest Americans, one of the three greatest men in the nineteenth century—one of the greatest men in the world's history," and the governor is saying, "Illinois says. He was mine, on my prairie he ripened to manhood, the Indians says, 'He was mine; on my southern hills the little child grew strong and tall.' But Kentucky says, 'I am his mother. I nursed him on my breast.'"

At nightfall I was back in Louisville, happy, but in a sorry "mess." I carried a stick as ugly and intrinsically as worthless as the "farm" on which I picked it up. I wouldn't exchange it for any gold-headed cane I ever saw. I had done my best to rid myself of the red cloak of La Rue. In full sight of laughing observers—Lincoln's own example gave me the required nerve. I remembered that when Charles Sumner found him handling a horse brush and exclaimed deprecatingly, "Why, Mr. President, do you back your own boots?" he naively retorted, "Whose boots do you think I'd back?" I know the "soft raiment" the Senator wore, for once as a boy, whirling thoughtlessly round the corner from Breckinridge into Tremont, I ran into that debonair figure. I backed, touched, said, "Paradise." He graciously responded, "No matter. But it did. I left my mark on his patent leather."

BLITHE DOING CUBA.

And He Is Prepared to Do It to a Nice Crisp Turn, Too.

From the Havana Post.

Mr. Samuel G. Blythe, of Washington, D. C., was the way it read on the passenger list of the Olivette, which arrived in Havana with a strong list to port, as Mr. Blythe's cabin was on the port side. It was only when he stepped ashore and the hotel runners sized up the Saratoga trunked man who had been referred to the name of Blythe, that the news began to sift through the district down about Machina wharf, that the great Sam Blythe, the great big Sam Blythe, had arrived in our village prepared to do it to a nice crisp turn in the Saturday Evening Post. Sam Blythe is known in newspaper circles in the United States as a "World man." He was long connected with the New York World, and was reckoned as one of the biggest of the big guns, physically as well as otherwise, in the corps of Washington correspondents. His acquaintance with politicians throughout the United States is perhaps exceeded by no other newspaper man.

Of late years, however, he has quit the strenuous life and writes what he pleases and where he pleases for the Saturday Evening Post. He conducts as well the column, "Who's Who, and Why," in the New York World, and is a "rough-house style," as the sporting editor puts it, but always clever and interesting fashion.

Matrimony.

From the Paris Press. At forty a man may regret that he married. At sixty a bachelor regrets bitterly that he did not take a wife.

THE BIG STICK

WASHINGTON, FEBRUARY 20, 1909. TWO CENTS.

EVERY SATURDAY.

Mr. Editor: If you see it in The Big Stick, I'll necessarily see it.

"YOU AND WE."

Many men in many lands have sought to wrest from the brow of James Byron Elmore, of Indiana, the poetic laureate of the South, the right of divine genius, but they have all failed miserably, and we feel certain that although one Alfred Austin, of London, England, is a late claimant for Elmore's poetic bay, he, too, will be judged and found wanting.

Mr. Austin bases his claim on an effusion entitled "You and We" in the selection of which title he may be said to have been inspired by the chance which Laureate Elmore would have taken of calling it "You and We." Mr. Austin's poem follows the classic model, but in its strict adherence to meter it makes that note of sterling humanity which is so characteristic of Mr. Elmore, whom he seeks to delectate, rather, for instance, that line—

But there, too, furnace flames and Forging the thunderbolts of War.

A sinner genius that Mr. Austin would have made it "furnaces," not "furnace," for we know, even if Mr. Austin did not, that besides the government furnace which is forging, &c., there are several furnaces owned by the steel trust which are also doing the work.

In his third verse Mr. Austin says: Nor you nor we (not Us) would others wrong;

We only claim to hold our own—Which once more betrays the marked limitations of the Britisher. As Mr. Elmore would have told us, had he chosen such a theme, we not only claim to hold our own, but we spend sleepless nights in formulating schemes for getting hold of somebody else's. And then, instead of continuing speaking to us, as he has done all along, Mr. Austin switches off to apostrophize the air with:

Then star-spangled flag unfurled, Oh, Union Jack, resistive train—Just as if he, the English bard, had a perfect right to order the Stars and Stripes to be unfurled!

As to the last verse of Mr. Austin's poem, well, here it is: In noble aim united thus pursue, And if you will stand by Us, Be sure that we will stand by you. Surely this is too cryptic for general acceptance. Why we should be urged to go around pursuing peaceful lives is a little hard to understand. The real gift of the verse

WASHINGTON CHAT.

By THE SPECTATOR.

A conspicuous person in the Blue Room on Thursday night was Mrs. Tommy Hitchcock, who came over for the occasion, and who, despite the fact that she is a matron of many years, retains her girlish looks, her girlish figure, and her girlish enthusiasm. Mrs. Hitchcock was Lulu Rustie, and is a sister of William C. Rustie and of George Rustie, who looks even more like his grandfather, W. W. Corcoran, Washington's most noted philanthropist, at his age, to be taken for him. While she was still a girl, and her brothers in their callow youth, these three people, who are now dignified and sober members of society, belonged to the sporting element in Washington, and scoured the country on their thoroughbred ponies doing feats of horsemanship which would bring a smile to a professional circus rider. Mrs. Hitchcock loves riding, and her daughter is said to have inherited both her taste and talent in this direction. Miss Hitchcock is entirely unlike the affected society girl of the day, but has been brought up out of doors, and is more at home among her dogs and horses than she is in the drawing-room.

Another distinguished woman in the Blue Room was the Baroness de Bode, the sister of the military attaché of the Russian Embassy. The Baroness de Bode is the great-granddaughter of that famous woman of whom it was said, "Perrine was; ingenio, vir," and whose "Mercuries," published a few years back, made quite a stir in literary circles. Baroness de Bode, the elder, was born Mary Kynersley, a daughter of a country squire in Staffordshire, England, and had she remained in her original environment might never have been anything more than a country gentleman's daughter, but her marriage to a German nobleman and her experience in affluence and poverty, in peace and war, developed in her an unusual intellect and one of the most indomitable spirits of her time. The story of her progress from Staffordshire to the Russian capital, where she and her family became subjects of Catherine the Great, who heard upon them the finest political honors, and gave them an estate, is thrilling and full of interest, and nowhere does a person gather a better idea of the social conditions in Europe during the Napoleonic wars. Baron de Bode is a great-grandson of the famous Russian son of the late Baron Clemens by his English wife, Charlotte Gardner, and was for years before his appointment to the Duke of Saxe-Meiningen, military aid to the Emperor and grandfather of the crown princess of Germany.

Another little visitor behind the line who attracted much attention was Miss Takahira, the daughter of the Japanese Ambassador, who was as dainty as a blouse figure in her native costume, with her hair dressed after the fashion peculiar to girls of her age in the Flower Kingdom. This little Japanese maiden is as graceful as her mother, with the same winning charm, and seemingly unconscious of the notice she created in her beautiful embroidered robes. During the past year, Miss Takahira has been a pupil at Mrs. Sumner's school, and has learned to speak English with a readiness that her countrymen are noted for. She presented so charming a picture of the night that it was a matter of general regret that she should be obliged to lay aside the lovely costume, which is so much more picturesque, so much more practical, and so much more sensible than the European clothes our women wear.

Among the recently distinguished visitors in town were Mrs. Ragdale, wife of the American consul general at St. Petersburg, and Miss Ragdale, who left last week to visit friends in the West before returning to Russia. Mrs. Ragdale was stationed for a number of years in China, and became so attached to that country that his transfer and promotion to St. Petersburg was accepted with real regret. Mrs. Ragdale and her daughter passed through the horrors of the siege of Tientsin, and witnessed all of the incredible cruelties that were practiced there by both the Boxers and the invading armies, but despite their own experience, they are in love with the place, and have a deep devotion for the people, whom they found to be courteous, responsive, and kind, and would be content to return there should opportunity offer.

At a luncheon given in her honor here, Mrs. Ragdale spoke with some feeling regarding the wrong impression that prevails in America regarding the position of women in China. They are treated, she says, with more consideration than they are in America, and are not, as is often said, absolute despots, and no homes are too great to be bestowed upon the mother of sons, whose memory is worshipped and revered. Mrs. Ragdale was born a Miss Hines of Massachusetts, but she has passed so much time abroad that she is almost a woman without a country.

Raising the Maine.

From the Philadelphia Press. The proposal to raise the Maine is approved and strongly endorsed by practically the entire newspaper press of the country. It is hard to understand the indifference of Congress to this matter. The American fleet is coming home after a triumphant voyage around the world. The national pride in this fleet and its great achievement is naturally just now very strong. It is a good time to remember the fleet of 1898 and make an honorable and suitable provision for the first and only great sacrifice in our conflict with Spain, and give honorable burial to the American seamen, whose bodies are imprisoned in the sunken battle ship in Havana Harbor.

War Expenses in Peace.

From the New York Evening Post. In 1898 we spent only \$2,242,696.32 on the navy—yet no nation insulted us, ravaged our shores, or ordered off our women and children. Even in 1899 Congress voted only \$2,548,824.31. If the next year, with its aftermath of war, took us over the hundred million mark, we none the less spent only \$5,823,222.90 as late as 1901. In the eight years of peace, therefore, during the Presidency of Theodore Roosevelt, we have increased our naval expenditures nearly two and one-half times.

The Roosevelt Policies.

From the New York Sun. The Roosevelt policies are to be carried out and Mr. Taft is pledged to it. It is vital to Mr. Taft and to the whole country that they should be carried out. Every honest citizen has it at heart. But they must be carried out with sobriety and integrity in behalf of honest principle and sound government, and not to fortify and perpetuate a fictitious reputation and the power of a vicious and depraved political machine.

In Recognition of Service.

From the Hartford Times. It is proper that a portrait of Grover Cleveland should be put on the new gold certificates as arranged by Secretary Cortelyou. Cleveland's name is often been claimed by the English press that Germany now stood isolated, and would have to pay heed to the dictates of England, France, and Russia.

"Nothing is farther from the truth. In the first place, Germany is not isolated. Austria and Italy are her very best friends and allies. If anything were to turn up to-day which would endanger Germany's safety, it would develop that Germany is not at all isolated," but has friends everywhere and in places she least expected. The German Kaiser is a shrewd diplomatist, and never forgets his country."

AT THE HOTELS.

"Although I do not agree with President Roosevelt in everything he did or in all of his doctrines, I am free to admit that he is a great American, an honest public servant, who has at all times tried to do his duty as he saw it," said Brand Whitlock, mayor of Toledo, Ohio, at the New Willard last night.

The youthful executive, who is also a successful author and lawyer, has been in Boston and New York, at both of which places he delivered Lincoln Day addresses. He said he wanted to "rest up a little bit," and thought he'd take a run down to Washington to do so.

"Every great man has his enemies, and Roosevelt has his. I do believe, however, that Roosevelt, even though sharply criticized, will be more appreciated as the years pass on. He is a strong character."

The greatest thing Roosevelt ever accomplished as President of the United States?

He has awakened the public moral conscience. He has exposed the bad spots in the public system, and called the attention of public officials and semi-public corporations to their duties to the general public. There are many other measures which President Roosevelt initiated and which deserve high commendation, as they are all intended for the benefit of the people.

"The trouble with our people to-day is that they have most peculiar ideas with regard to politics, religion, business, and society. All four of these are kept separate, as it seems, in water-tight compartments. They won't allow the one to mix with the other."

It is looked upon as almost impossible that a business man can be a good citizen. When a man says business is business, he thereby means to claim extra prerogatives; in other words, he does not think that society, or religion, or anything else should interfere. Business and religion should be mixed, but as society and politics. All four ought to mix, and the sooner they do the better for the country at large."

Mayor Whitlock said he entertained the greatest admiration for President Johnson, who he thought was a great man, but he was not surprised to see him nominated for President.

If you have not had your proper share of good luck the past year or two, you would like to know the reason; and some light may be shed upon the situation by a recent disclosure in Bedford, N. Y. This was what James F. Horner, of Buffalo, N. Y., said at the National last night.